

In this issue we present two sides of a huge social phenomenon: the advent of instantaneous, universal (and seemingly constant) electronic communication. This change in behavior has affected every aspect of our society, and academia is no exception.

Our first article deals with the more commonplace side of electronic communication – social networking, and the problems and opportunities it provides. The second deals with the darker aspects of this modern trend: the text messaging of sexual images, or “sexting.”

Social Networking In Schools

By Tamara Devitt (Irvine)

As more students, parents, teachers and administrators tap into social networking sites such as Facebook, MySpace, Twitter and YouTube for educational, school communication, admissions marketing and other purposes, the lines between educational and personal networking are becoming more and more blurred.

Social-networking sites like Facebook and MySpace allow users to create personal websites and to post online personal information about their employer, marital status, friends, outside interests and hobbies as well as photographs and real-time “status” updates. Sites like Twitter allow users to send and receive short messages up to 140 characters in length. Better known as “tweets,” these messages are displayed on the publisher’s profile page. More and more people are using social-networking sites daily. For instance, Facebook’s blog says that it has more than 400 million *active* users and that 50% of them log in on any given day.


There is no “one size fits all” approach to social media use in schools. Different schools use social-networking sites differently. Some schools do not use social-networking sites at all and may have filters that prevent employee and student use at school. Other schools use social media to communicate with parents and students by publishing a school newsletter or by providing “tweets” to parents about scheduling, weather closures and classroom updates. Some use social media for recruiting and evaluating prospective employees. Others use social media for alumni communication and fundraising. Some schools are using social media to enhance student learning because it enables students to connect and form virtual communities.

The Risks Of School-Sanctioned Social Media Use

As schools explore the positive ways that social media can be used in education, negative uses are sure to reveal themselves as well, presenting new concerns and legal risks for schools.

A teacher may “friend” a student, allowing that student to have unfettered access to the teacher’s private life, the ability to post private information about students, or much worse, engage in inappropriate communication with a student. An employee may post negative comments or risqué photographs on a social networking site that also identifies the school.

A potential staff or faculty member’s social networking site may reveal that person’s race, religion, disability, or sexual orientation, thus exposing an employer to increased risk of a failure to hire claim. A positive LinkedIn recommendation that contradicts the reasons for a poor-performance evaluation may be used against the school in a later wrongful



termination lawsuit. Employees have new avenues for harassment, disparaging other employees and the school, and sharing confidential information. Yet, taking adverse action against an employee based on information gleaned from a social-networking site may lead to invasion of privacy and other claims.

Savvy Screening Method – Or Risky Recruitment Tool?

More and more often, schools are turning to the Internet for information about potential new hires. Employers face a number of barriers when it comes to effectively screening job applicants. For example, many applicants fabricate their résumé and lie during interviews. While the Internet can certainly provide enlightening information about a candidate’s attitudes, behaviors and core values, the risk of “social-media screening” may outweigh possible gain.

First of all, Facebook and MySpace profiles can indicate race, religion, disability, sexual orientation or other protected categories of an applicant, increasing the risk of a discriminatory failure to hire claim if the

Continued on page 2

applicant is not hired. In addition, a savvy user of online media can manipulate information to create a false personal image.

Currently, there are no published discrimination cases based on an employer's use of social-networking sites to monitor employees or screen applicants. But given the increased use of social-networking sites in recent years, no doubt employers will soon find themselves in court being sued on allegations of discrimination or retaliation for taking adverse employment actions based on information obtained from social-networking sites.

Another concern for schools is the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and its state equivalents. While FCRA generally does not apply to situations where a school uses social-networking sites on its own (i.e., without engaging a third-party background screening firm), it may apply to situations where an employer uses such sites in conjunction with certain workplace misconduct investigations.

Moreover, if a school does engage a third-party background screening firm and includes searches of social-networking sites as part of the requested background check, the employer would be bound by the provisions of the FCRA. Other state fair credit reporting laws may provide more protection to job applicants and employees, so be sure to review any state-specific statutes to ensure that your school is in compliance with all applicable laws.

MySpace...My Privacy?

Many employees feel that it is an invasion of privacy for employers to look at, and base employment decisions on, their social-networking profiles. This is likely due to the misperception that information posted on these services is private; many MySpace and Facebook users do not realize that the information they post can generally be viewed by the public. In most cases, employees will not be able to successfully argue that accessing their profile constitutes a cause of action for invasion of privacy because an invasion of privacy cause of action requires a showing that the employee had a *reasonable* expectation of privacy in the content posted. An employee would likely have a difficult time establishing that reasonable expectation of privacy when thousands, if not millions, of people had access to the employee's profile and the employee voluntarily disclosed personal information in the public domain.

But it is not true that an employee stands no chance of successfully asserting a claim for invasion of privacy. The outcome might be different if, for example, an employee makes his Facebook profile "private," or for his "friends" only, and the employer is able to circumvent the privacy setting simply to obtain information for making personnel decisions.

Also, using information obtained from social networking sites may be problematic since some states, including California and Colorado, prohibit an employer from imposing discipline for lawful conduct – such as disparaging "tweets" and "wallposts" – conducted on a person's own time. Schools in such states may be exposed to potential liability if they choose to take adverse action against an employee after viewing photographs of the employee on the employee's profile, smoking, drinking, or engaging in other lawful conduct.

Pop Quiz: Is Digital Information Protected By Privacy Law?

Recently, a group of restaurant employees set up an invitation-only MySpace group called "Spectators" where they could "vent any BS ...without outside eyes spying on us." [See "Off-Duty Discussion Groups Can Be Off Limits To Employers," in the March, 2010 issue of the Fisher & Phillips Hospitality Update]. Postings referred to violence, illegal drug use and a posted copy of a required test for employees. A manager

caught wind of the group and asked an employee for the password to gain access to the group – and then terminated employees for criticizing their bosses after viewing the online posts. When the case went to trial, the jury found the manager's actions violated federal and state statutes, which prohibited unauthorized access of electronic communications sites based on the way the password was obtained. *Pietrylo v. Hillstone Restaurant Group d/b/a Houston's*.

The lesson to be learned is that although employees should have no expectation of privacy when it comes to publicly available material, schools need to be extremely careful of how they obtain protected information they believe they have a right to know. Managers should not coerce employees or students to provide passwords or use "fake friend requests" as a way to try to access an employee's or student's private pages.

As the online barriers between the personal and school-specific use continue to blur, administrators and faculty must be increasingly careful when becoming "friends" with employees, students or parents in cyberspace. Online information and opinions can potentially lead to harassment, discrimination or other claims – even if posted on a personal profile. In one case, a manager posted two controversial comments on his personal Web page: "What's wrong with women these days?" and "Chicks seem to have more issues these days than Jet Magazine and keep up more drama than daytime TV and Jerry Springer combined." These postings were used by an employee to support her lawsuit. *Derrick v. Met. Gov't of Nashville & Davidson Co.*

To Ban Or Not To Ban?

Since social-media use is so multi-faceted, no single approach will apply to all situations. Some schools may opt to place an outright ban on social-media access at school as well as prohibit "friending" parents, students and other employees. Other schools may simply prohibit employees from identifying their school online. As the use of social-networking sites for educational and community communication purposes increases, schools may need to adapt to the mainstream use of such sites and recognize that a blanket prohibition simply isn't practical. Regardless, your school should take action now to safeguard against social media mishaps.

All schools should have a social-networking policy. A computer-use policy that simply prohibits personal use and disclaims any expectation of privacy is not enough. While it is tempting to ban social networking altogether, this may raise enforcement problems particularly as schools take advantage of the opportunities of social media use in education.

Make sure that existing computer-use, confidential and proprietary information, and no-harassment policies (employee and student) specifically address social networking. Schools that check candidates' public social-networking sites should avoid "fake" friend requests and be consistent – if your school checks any candidate, check them all.

Advise your employees that if they use the school's email address or name they must act in accordance with the school's professional standards, including respecting the school, its employees, parents, students, etc. Continue to remind employees of the risks of unequal relationships when dealing with students. Provide training in order to ensure that employees understand that information posted on social networking sites may be public and also understand the school's policies.

Remind all employees, including administrators, faculty and staff of their heightened obligation not to reveal confidential information on-line and educate them about the risks of becoming on-line "friends" with parents, students and/or subordinates. Finally, consistently enforce such policies and before taking any disciplinary action, carefully investigate any suspected misconduct.

For more information contact the author at tdevitt@laborlawyers.com or 949.851.2424.

Are Your Students “Sexting”?

Are Your teachers?

By Candice C. Pinares-Baez (Fort Lauderdale)

“Sexting” is the act of sending sexually-explicit images via cell phones, emails, iPod, pagers or social-networking sites. Unfortunately, the “sexting” phenomenon has exploded across the nation in the last decade and news reports of students engaged in sexting scandals are becoming all too common. Criminal prosecution of teenagers sending “sexts,” lawsuits instituted against schools and school officials due to their response to incidents of sexting, and even reports of teenagers allegedly committing suicide after sexting incidents spiraled out of control have received nationwide media coverage.

A study conducted by The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy suggests that 20% of teens have posted or shared nude or semi-nude photos of themselves via text message or online. A slightly higher number of teens, 22%, admit to having received a nude or semi-nude photo from someone else. The prevalence of “sexting” in this day and age makes it an issue which can no longer be avoided by schools, lawmakers, or law enforcement.

It Can Be A Crime

Since 2009, at least 25 states have introduced or considered bills or resolutions aimed at sexting. Generally, the legislation is geared toward deterring young people from engaging in sexting by applying penalties for those who engage in the practice. In most states with proposed or pending legislation, sexting is classified as a misdemeanor and penalties upon conviction range from fines and mandatory enrollment in educational programs to possible incarceration. Many states that have not yet adopted sexting laws are prosecuting minors under their child pornography laws, which call for what many consider to be very stringent penalties.

What To Do If You Discover Sexting

When school officials are notified that sexting has occurred, it gives rise to many legal and practical implications. First, when notified of a sexting allegation, school officials must be careful to ensure that they do not violate students’ rights by searching their cell phones during the course of their investigation. To avoid these issues, school officials may seek the consent of the students and their parents before searching the cell phone as part of their investigation.

In addition, private schools may implement an express policy in their Student Handbook which provides notice to students and parents that school officials reserve the right to search students’ cell phones (as well as other items of personal property and areas on campus) if it suspects that a school policy has been violated. Public and charter schools should check with their attorney regarding a student’s constitutional rights before searching a student’s cell phone.

To limit the potential of criminal or civil liability on behalf of the school or school official conducting the investigation, the school needs to work with its counsel to find the best way to preserve evidence in case of a potential challenge to a disciplinary action, while protecting the school from a claim that it is itself disseminating child pornography. It’s important that all school officials understand the need to take great care to avoid downloading, transferring, or viewing the images on school property. Methods of preserving evidence will depend on the form that the evidence takes.

For example, if a photocopy of an image is provided to the school, place it in a confidential envelope for safekeeping. If the only evidence of



the activity is on the student’s cell phone, consider other methods of preserving evidence, such as keeping the cell phone; writing in detail what the picture on the phone shows and having a witness (ideally the student or parent) confirm that the writing is an accurate description of the information; or asking law enforcement to permit the school to obtain and retain a copy of the image for evidence to sustain a challenge later.

Once sexting has been confirmed, school officials should immediately notify the parents of all students involved. Notifying the parents and keeping them abreast of the investigation and actions of the school creates an open line of communication between all parties, and may serve to minimize any ill feelings the parents may feel toward the school should legal action be pursued against their child.

Some state laws require schools to report to the police certain crimes that occur on school property. Because sexting is a new phenomenon and states are adopting different approaches on how to handle it, it is important to consult with your school attorney to determine if you are under an obligation to report the incident to local law enforcement, or the district attorney. Likewise, depending on a state’s definition of child abuse and neglect, images shared through sexting may implicate a school’s child-abuse-and-neglect reporting obligations. This is also an area of concern which you should discuss with counsel.

Once a school has reported the incident to the parents of all students involved, as well as to all necessary government entities, it must determine the appropriate course of discipline for the students involved. As part of your school’s policy, you should set out not only the consequences for students’ participation in sexting, but also the school’s obligations to report

Continued on page 4

Are Your Students “Sexting”?

Continued from page 3

the incident to the proper authorities. Schools may also want to set forth the internal disciplinary measures that they will take upon discovery of a sexting incident, with a caveat that the disciplinary measures may be adjusted at the school’s discretion.

As part of your internal disciplinary procedures, consider disciplining not only the sender and others who disseminated the image, but (depending on the circumstances) possibly the recipient as well. Disciplining some of the students involved in the incident, and not others, may result in possible inequality or discrimination claims on behalf of the disciplined students.

What Can Schools Do To Prevent The Problem?

Educating students, parents and school personnel about the risks associated with sexting, and the school’s policies regarding it, is the most effective deterrent schools can exercise to prevent such issues on your campus. State lawmakers in New Jersey have proposed legislation which would require schools to annually disseminate information to students and parents or guardians on the dangers of distributing sexually-explicit images through electronic means. Other states are expected to follow suit. Implementing a sexting component into school sexual education or character-development curricula may be one way for schools to properly educate their students on “sexting” issues.



The sexting phenomenon is an extension of evolving technology. Unfortunately, schools are in the unique position of having to deal with this issue without established precedent because laws and policies are still being developed. Ensure that your school is establishing policies and following guidelines that minimize exposure to civil and criminal liability while protecting the best interests of the students.

For more information, contact the author at cpinares-baez@laborlawyers.com or 954.525.4800.

The *Education Update* is a periodic publication of Fisher & Phillips LLP and should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only, and you are urged to consult counsel concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Fisher & Phillips LLP lawyers are available for presentations on a wide variety of labor and employment topics.

Office Locations

Atlanta phone 404.231.1400	Houston phone 713.292.0150	Orlando phone 407.541.0888
Charlotte phone 704.334.4565	Irvine phone 949.851.2424	Philadelphia phone 610.230.2150
Chicago phone 312.346.8061	Kansas City phone 816.842.8770	Phoenix phone 602.281.3400
Columbia phone 803.255.0000	Las Vegas phone 702.252.3131	Portland ME phone 207.774.6001
Dallas phone 214.220.9100	Louisville phone 502.561.3990	Portland OR phone 503.242.4262
Denver phone 303.218.3650	New Jersey phone 908.516.1050	San Diego phone 858.597.9600
Fort Lauderdale phone 954.525.4800	New Orleans phone 504.522.3303	San Francisco phone 415.490.9000
	Tampa phone 813.769.7500	

Fisher & Phillips LLP represents employers nationally in labor, employment, civil rights, employee benefits, and immigration matters

We’re interested in your opinion. If you have any suggestions about how we can improve the *Education Update* (or its sister publication the *Labor Letter*), let us know by contacting Suzanne Bogdan, Chair of our Education Practice Group at (954) 847-4705 or sbogdan@laborlawyers.com, or e-mail the editor at mmitchell@laborlawyers.com.

How to ensure continued receipt of this newsletter

If you would like to continue to receive our newsletters and other important information such as Legal Alerts and seminar information via email, then please take a moment right now to make sure your spam filters are set to allow transmissions from the following addresses: communications@laborlawyers.com or seminars@laborlawyers.com. If you currently receive communications from us by regular mail, and would like to begin receiving them by email, please send a request to communications@laborlawyers.com.

