California
Wage/Hour Update
2009, No.4

Fisher & Phillips LLP
Attorneys at Law
Solutions at Work®
www.laborlawyers.com

Button Up Those Commission Plans

By Christina Kotowski (San Francisco)

An employer recently found itself in the unenviable position of defending a lawsuit brought by a former sales employee, who alleged that the employer owed him a commission of 20% on a $12 million deal he brokered with AT&T. After three and a half years of litigation, an appellate court ruled in the employer's favor because the salesperson's employment agreement contained two critical provisions. Would your company's commission plan pass the same test? *Nein v. HostPro, Inc.*

It All Started Out So Well . . .

HostPro, a California web-hosting company, hired Randy Nein as a sales representative in 1999. The written employment agreement stated, among other things, that Nein would receive a salary plus commissions of 4% "on all direct initial sales," Nein would be eligible for these commissions "so long as [he] remains employed with the Company as a Sales Representative," and that the employment agreement "may be amended only by a written agreement executed by each of the parties." So far, so good.

In April 2001, Nein was promoted to "Channel Manager." In an oral agreement, the parties agreed to triple his salary and that Nein would receive a commission of "20% of the up front costs' revenues" on all accounts brought in by Nein or through his contacts or efforts. The employer fired Nein in December 2001.

Before his termination, Nein had discussions with a contact at AT&T that led to HostPro acquiring all of AT&T's small-to-medium-sized web-hosting clients in an asset-purchase agreement. HostPro consummated the transaction with AT&T on January 14, 2002, one month after Nein's termination. Nein then sued to recover a 20% commission on the deal.

During the extensive litigation that followed, HostPro asserted several reasons why Nein was not entitled to the claimed commission. All of these arguments failed but one. What saved the day for the employer was the fact that the original employment agreement – which provided only a 4% commission and required Nein to be employed to receive the commission – could only be amended in writing. Nein and HostPro never reduced their later oral agreement to writing. Nein argued that the oral agreement for a 20% commission validly modified the written agreement that provided only a 4% commission, but the court rejected that argument.

Oral Commission Agreements Are As Good As The Paper They're Written On

Savvy employers protect themselves from these nightmarish scenarios by insisting on clearly written commission plans and by refusing to allow any oral modifications. A commission plan should specify all of the terms and conditions required for a salesperson to earn a commission, when the commission is actually "earned," and any provisions that may disqualify an employee from earning that commission. California wage-and-hour law has many quirks, but commission agreements are largely enforced, so long as the agreement covers all these bases.

A good commission agreement should address these questions:

- What goods or services are eligible for commission payments?
- What is the commission rate? Is it the same for all goods and services? Is there a cap on the total commission possible?
- When does the employee earn the commission – when the sale is booked, invoiced, shipped, paid, after the expiration of a specified chargeback period, or at some other time?
- Must the employee be employed on the date the commission is "earned" under the contract to be paid?
- What happens when two or more employees participate in the sale? Is the commission split between them, or does it go to the employee who actually closes the sale? If there is a split, who gets how much of the commission?
- Will the employee get a draw against commissions? If so, how much, and how is the draw reconciled against commissions? (Any draw should at least be sufficient to cover the employer's minimum wage obligation to the employee for the pay period when the draw is paid.)
- What happens if an employee's draw exceeds the commissions for one or more pay cycles?
- If the customer cancels the sale or returns the item after a commission has been paid, how and when are returns, cancellations and chargebacks reconciled against future commissions?
- Are there any other conditions required to earn the commission, and if so, does the employee have control over these conditions? (If not, the conditions are likely to be closely scrutinized by the Labor Commissioner and the courts.)

When a commissioned employee changes jobs (whether by promotion or a change in responsibilities), be sure to review the agreement to see if it still makes sense in light of the employee's new position. If necessary, revise or replace the agreement. Don't rely on or permit oral modifications.

Also, be mindful of this maxim of California law: "He who shakes the tree, gathers the fruit." In some instances, courts have held that an employee who is the procuring cause of a sale, but is prevented by the employer from completing that sale, may be entitled to a commission anyway. But if according to the written sales agreement an employee must do more than achieve the "point of sale" to earn a commission, the "procuring cause" argument may evaporate if those other conditions have not been satisfied. Thus, compensation plans must clearly articulate all conditions precedent to earning a commission, such as servicing the account, achieving eligibility thresholds, or satisfying other benchmarks to qualify for the commission.

Finally, remember that commissions must be paid immediately upon termination of employment if they have been earned and are reasonably calculable at that time. Don't wait to pay commissions on the next regular payroll cycle unless the departing employee's commissions truly cannot be calculated before then. Otherwise, you risk owing up to thirty days' additional wages in waiting-time penalties.

Conclusion

Like many other things in California, commission agreements are fairly technical, so it's best not to let your sales force draft their own agreements on cocktail napkins. The good news is that you are in the position to take control of this potential problem area and draft clear and unambiguous commission agreements that prevent or reduce the risk of exposure from frivolous commission claims. In short, a well-written commission agreement, done right, can save employers untold trouble in the future.

For more information email the author at ckotowski@laborlawyers.com or call 415.490.9000.

© 2009 Fisher & Phillips LLP

Documentation Beyond Timekeeping Saves Dollars

By John K. Skousen (Irvine)

California employers continue to learn the hard lessons resulting from the failure to adequately keep time records or monitor off-the-clock activities. Timekeeping errors that occur systematically and continue unchecked can accumulate hundreds of thousands of dollars in liability, not to mention potential penalties and attorneys' fees in defending class action complaints addressing such deficiencies. The failure to keep accurate time records almost certainly is the direct result of an employer's failure to train, retain, and monitor effective first line supervisors.

But there are other types of documentation required by California wage-hour regulations beyond simple daily time records, which can occasionally be ignored or overlooked. When training your supervisors regarding wage-hour compliance, be sure to pay attention to these additional documentation requirements that, if lacking, could result in liability for additional unpaid wages.

A Quick List

Here are some of the mandatory documents, which must be produced during a wage-hour audit if requested, or during discovery if you are sued.

Make-up time agreements. Employees who lose time during a work week due to their legitimate personal obligations may enter into an agreement to make up the work time in the same work week the time is lost up to 11 hours per day and 40 hours per week without incurring any overtime obligation, as long as the agreement is reduced to writing and the employer has not solicited the employee's use of the make-up time.

On-duty meal agreements. In addition to satisfying all of the other requirements (including that the nature of the work prevents relief from all duty), an on-duty meal period agreement between an employer and an employee must be in writing containing special language in order to be valid.

Alternative work week election documents. Employees generally may agree to work up to 10 hours (and in some cases 12 hours) per day and 40 hours per week without incurring daily overtime liability, but this can only be accomplished by following all procedures including holding a secret-ballot election. You must also meet with employees and provide written documents describing the schedule at least 14 days before the election. The decision must pass by a 2/3 vote. The election results must be reported to the Division of Labor Statistics and Research and the election documents retained permanently as proof regarding the election.

Wage-deduction authorizations. All deductions from wages for repayment of loans, adjustments for non-returned tools or equipment, adjustments for overpayments in prior pay period, or to satisfy other arrangements benefiting the employee, require a signed authorization by the employee. The validity of an authorization for wage deductions depends on specific rules and may vary in special situations. The authorizations should be retained to show compliance.

Employee handbooks. Although employee handbooks once were mentioned little, they have now become central to showing employer compliance for vacation administration, meal and rest period policies, and time keeping. These documents, with signed authorizations of receipt, can show an employer's general policies directed at compliance and can assist to defeat class actions.

Other documents that indirectly impact on labor compliance include California work permits for minors, and licenses for automobile salespersons.

Not Required, But Preferred

There are other types of documentation that are not mandatory, but rather, are recommended in order to establish conditions of employment that impact on payment of wages, such as certain compensation plans, meal and rest-period schedules, first-and-second-meal-period conditional waivers, acknowledgments for each pay period regarding timekeeping and meal and rest-period compliance, an exempt employee's periodic acknowledgment or self-appraisals regarding satisfaction of mandated exempt duties, and maintenance of vacation and sick leave request forms.

Vigilant maintenance of mandatory and recommended documents will go far to avoiding lawsuits and liability for systematic non-compliance. As insurance, add this compliance area to your checklist for supervisory training. California employers who take measures to do this will not regret it, and should save big dollars down the road.

For more information email the author at jskousen@laborlawyers.com or call 949.851.2424.

The *California Wage/Hour Update* is a quarterly publication of Fisher & Phillips LLP and should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only, and you are urged to consult counsel concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Fisher & Phillips LLP lawyers are available for presentations on a wide variety of labor and employment topics.

Office Locations

Atlanta phone (404) 231-1400	**Houston** phone (713) 292-0150	**Orlando** phone (407) 541-0888
Charlotte phone (704) 334-4565	**Irvine** phone (949) 851-2424	**Philadelphia** phone (610) 230-2150
Chicago phone (312) 346-8061	**Kansas City** phone (816) 842-8770	**Portland ME** phone (207) 774-6001
Columbia phone (803) 255-0000	**Las Vegas** phone (702) 252-3131	**Portland OR** phone (503) 242-4262
Dallas phone (214) 220-9100	**Louisville** phone (502) 561-3990	**San Diego** phone (858) 597-9600
Denver phone (303) 218-3650	**New Jersey** phone (908) 516-1050	**San Francisco** phone (415) 490-9000
Fort Lauderdale phone (954) 525-4800	**New Orleans** phone (504) 522-3303	**Tampa** phone (813) 769-7500

Fisher & Phillips LLP represents employers nationally in labor, employment, civil rights, employee benefits, and immigration matters

We're interested in your opinion. If you have any suggestions about how we can improve the *California Wage/Hour Update*, let us know by contacting your Fisher & Phillips attorney or email the editor at mmitchell@laborlawyers.com.

How to ensure continued receipt of this newsletter
If you would like to continue to receive our newsletters and other important information such as Legal Alerts and seminar information via email, then please take a moment right now to make sure your spam filters are set to allow transmissions from the following addresses: communications@laborlawyers.com or seminars@laborlawyers.com. If you currently receive communications from us by regular mail, and would like to begin receiving them by email, please send a request to communications@laborlawyers.com.

© 2009 Fisher & Phillips LLP